



## Urban Congestion Report (UCR)

Year-to-Year Trends in the U.S.  
for January through March 2026

Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)	Congested Hours	Congested Hours Change from 2025	Travel Time Index	Travel Time Index Change from 2025	Planning Time Index	Planning Time Index Change from 2025	Percent Complete Data
<b>National Average</b>	<b>2:35</b>	<b>-1:08</b>	<b>1.23</b>	<b>-4</b>	<b>1.78</b>	<b>-14</b>	<b>94%</b>
Atlanta, GA	3:23	<b>-1:34</b>	1.27	<b>-7</b>	1.93	<b>-24</b>	96%
Austin, TX	3:41	<b>-1:09</b>	1.29	<b>-6</b>	1.94	<b>-24</b>	90%
Baltimore, MD	3:58	<b>-1:43</b>	1.20	<b>-4</b>	1.79	<b>-8</b>	96%
Birmingham, AL	1:01	<b>-0:28</b>	1.07	<b>-1</b>	1.39	<b>-7</b>	97%
Boston, MA	3:22	<b>-0:12</b>	1.20	<b>-2</b>	1.80	<b>+3</b>	91%
Buffalo, NY	2:38	<b>-1:45</b>	1.09	<b>-1</b>	1.48	<b>-2</b>	94%
Charlotte, NC	2:13	<b>-0:56</b>	1.17	<b>-5</b>	1.72	<b>-7</b>	97%
Chicago, IL	2:57	<b>-1:19</b>	1.22	<b>-4</b>	1.71	<b>-17</b>	96%
Cincinnati, OH	1:29	<b>-1:03</b>	1.11	<b>-3</b>	1.52	<b>-16</b>	97%
Cleveland, OH	1:05	<b>-0:18</b>	1.04	<b>-1</b>	1.31	<b>0</b>	98%
Columbus, OH	1:17	<b>-0:12</b>	1.07	<b>0</b>	1.45	<b>+4</b>	92%
Dallas -Fort Worth, TX	3:42	<b>-1:05</b>	1.26	<b>-5</b>	1.96	<b>-19</b>	90%
Denver, CO	3:41	<b>-1:30</b>	1.23	<b>-4</b>	1.79	<b>-22</b>	96%
Detroit, MI	1:43	<b>-0:16</b>	1.09	<b>0</b>	1.49	<b>0</b>	96%
Fresno, CA	1:43	<b>-0:38</b>	1.06	<b>-2</b>	1.30	<b>-11</b>	98%
Grand Rapids MI	2:26	<b>-0:09</b>	1.06	<b>-1</b>	1.43	<b>-10</b>	97%
Hartford, CT	1:25	<b>-0:21</b>	1.06	<b>-1</b>	1.37	<b>0</b>	91%
Houston, TX	4:40	<b>-1:08</b>	1.30	<b>-7</b>	1.94	<b>-29</b>	85%
Indianapolis, IN	1:47	<b>-0:58</b>	1.10	<b>-1</b>	1.51	<b>+2</b>	93%
Jacksonville, FL	2:00	<b>-1:21</b>	1.10	<b>-5</b>	1.47	<b>-22</b>	96%
Kansas City, MO	1:16	<b>-1:07</b>	1.07	<b>-2</b>	1.35	<b>-17</b>	92%
Las Vegas, NV	2:47	<b>-1:29</b>	1.17	<b>-5</b>	1.56	<b>-17</b>	92%

Notes: **Bolded (-)** values indicate improving conditions; *italics (+)* indicate worsening conditions.

N/A = not available

Comparison of 2026 to 2025 is for the same three-month period (January – March). Percent Complete Data compares the reported congestion data for that MSA to what should have been available for the designated roads and time periods. Data collection for Fresno, CA; Grand Rapids, MI; Tucson, AZ; and Tulsa, OK began in calendar year 2025.



## Urban Congestion Report (UCR)

Year-to-Year Trends in the U.S.  
for January through March 2026

Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)	Congested Hours	Congested Hours Change from 2025	Travel Time Index	Travel Time Index Change from 2025	Planning Time Index	Planning Time Index Change from 2025	Percent Complete Data
Los Angeles, CA	6:17	<b>-1:34</b>	1.50	<b>-12</b>	2.30	<b>-34</b>	95%
Louisville, KY	1:23	<b>-1:11</b>	1.07	<b>-2</b>	1.49	<b>-2</b>	94%
Memphis, TN	2:03	<b>-1:07</b>	1.09	<b>-2</b>	1.50	<b>-6</b>	97%
Miami, FL	4:49	<b>-1:42</b>	1.35	<b>-11</b>	2.10	<b>-27</b>	95%
Milwaukee, WI	1:29	<b>-0:52</b>	1.11	0	1.43	<b>-5</b>	98%
Minn.- St. Paul, MN	1:46	<b>-0:56</b>	1.11	<b>-2</b>	1.50	<b>-7</b>	96%
Nashville, TN	2:17	<b>-0:39</b>	1.19	<b>-1</b>	1.77	<b>-5</b>	95%
New Orleans, LA	2:01	<b>-1:44</b>	1.14	<b>-6</b>	1.52	<b>-24</b>	96%
New York, NY	4:56	<b>-0:46</b>	1.25	<b>-2</b>	1.92	<b>+4</b>	92%
Oklahoma City, OK	1:10	<b>-1:20</b>	1.09	<b>-3</b>	1.40	<b>-16</b>	95%
Orlando, FL	2:35	<b>-1:10</b>	1.17	<b>-6</b>	1.59	<b>-18</b>	92%
Philadelphia, PA	3:06	<b>-0:54</b>	1.17	<b>-3</b>	1.63	<b>-4</b>	94%
Phoenix, AZ	2:11	<b>-0:38</b>	1.20	<b>-2</b>	1.60	<b>-12</b>	96%
Pittsburgh, PA	1:32	<b>-1:00</b>	1.07	<b>-3</b>	1.37	<b>-4</b>	93%
Portland, OR	4:05	<b>-2:15</b>	1.23	<b>-8</b>	1.81	<b>-24</b>	96%
Providence, RI	2:44	<b>-0:21</b>	1.10	<b>-2</b>	1.49	<b>+2</b>	90%
Raleigh, NC	1:03	<b>-1:04</b>	1.07	<b>-3</b>	1.44	<b>-10</b>	97%
Richmond, VA	1:04	<b>-0:49</b>	1.05	<b>-1</b>	1.34	<b>-4</b>	97%
Riverside - San Bern., CA	3:21	<b>-1:15</b>	1.21	<b>-8</b>	1.71	<b>-20</b>	98%
Rochester, NY	1:26	<b>-1:15</b>	1.05	<b>-1</b>	1.28	<b>-6</b>	96%
Sacramento, CA	2:28	<b>-1:29</b>	1.15	<b>-5</b>	1.60	<b>-21</b>	97%

Notes: **Bolded (-)** values indicate improving conditions; *italics (+)* indicate worsening conditions.

N/A = not available

Comparison of 2026 to 2025 is for the same three-month period (January – March). Percent Complete Data compares the reported congestion data for that MSA to what should have been available for the designated roads and time periods. Data collection for Fresno, CA; Grand Rapids, MI; Tucson, AZ; and Tulsa, OK began in calendar year 2025.

Find the UCR on the web at: [https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/perf\\_measurement/ucr/index.htm](https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/perf_measurement/ucr/index.htm).



## Urban Congestion Report (UCR)

Year-to-Year Trends in the U.S.  
 for January through March 2026

Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)	Congested Hours	Congested Hours Change from 2025	Travel Time Index	Travel Time Index Change from 2025	Planning Time Index	Planning Time Index Change from 2025	Percent Complete Data
Salt Lake City, UT	1:29	<b>-0:27</b>	1.10	<b>-2</b>	1.56	<b>-10</b>	96%
San Antonio, TX	2:15	<b>-1:23</b>	1.17	<b>-9</b>	1.72	<b>-29</b>	97%
San Diego, CA	2:34	<b>-0:51</b>	1.21	<b>-6</b>	1.77	<b>-18</b>	97%
San Francisco, CA	4:11	<b>-1:20</b>	1.30	<b>-4</b>	1.90	<b>-14</b>	97%
San Jose, CA	4:04	<b>-0:56</b>	1.37	<i>+1</i>	1.99	<b>-10</b>	98%
San Juan, PR	2:51	<b>-0:26</b>	1.32	<b>-8</b>	2.19	<b>-7</b>	87%
Seattle, WA	4:25	<b>-1:40</b>	1.28	<b>-5</b>	1.88	<b>-15</b>	91%
St. Louis, MO	0:20	<b>-0:45</b>	1.02	<b>-4</b>	1.15	<b>-13</b>	96%
Tampa, FL	3:08	<b>-1:22</b>	1.29	<b>-9</b>	1.90	<b>-26</b>	97%
Tucson, AZ	2:09	<b>-0:20</b>	1.09	<i>+1</i>	1.39	<b>-8</b>	98%
Tulsa, OK	2:20	<b>-1:18</b>	1.07	<b>-3</b>	1.35	<b>-24</b>	87%
Virginia Beach, VA	2:27	<b>-2:12</b>	1.12	<b>-5</b>	1.65	<b>-24</b>	95%
Washington, DC	4:48	<b>-1:47</b>	1.28	<b>-8</b>	1.97	<b>-17</b>	93%

Notes: **Bolded (-)** values indicate improving conditions; *italics (+)* indicate worsening conditions.

N/A = not available

Comparison of 2026 to 2025 is for the same three-month period (January – March). Percent Complete Data compares the reported congestion data for that MSA to what should have been available for the designated roads and time periods. Data collection for Fresno, CA; Grand Rapids, MI; Tucson, AZ; and Tulsa, OK began in calendar year 2025.