

# Urban Congestion Report (UCR)

A Snapshot of Congestion Trends in the U.S. for July 2012 through September 2012



Federal Highway Administration

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Monthly Trends -- Congested Hours</b></p>	<p><b><u>Congested Hours</u></b> Average duration of weekday congestion</p> <p><b>-1 minute</b> from last year</p> <p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>Jul-Sep 2012: 4:13 Jul-Sep 2011: 4:14</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Monthly Trends -- Travel Time Index</b></p>	<p><b><u>Travel Time Index</u></b> Peak period travel times vs. off-peak travel times</p> <p><b>+1 point</b> from last year</p> <p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>Jul-Sep 2012: 1.20 Jul-Sep 2011: 1.19</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Monthly Trends -- Planning Time Index</b></p>	<p><b><u>Planning Time Index</u></b> Unreliability (variability) of travel</p> <p><b>+4 points</b> from last year</p> <p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>Jul-Sep 2012: 1.46 Jul-Sep 2011: 1.42</p>

## Summary of Nationwide Trends

- Congested hours decreased slightly (1 minutes shorter), whereas the travel time index and planning time index worsened (by 1 point and 4 points, respectively). All measures compared the most recent 3 months in 2012 to the same 3 months in 2011.
- 5 of the 19 cities (26%) showed improvements in all three measures.
- 9 of the 19 cities (48%) showed worsening conditions in all three measures.
- 5 of the 19 cities (26%) had stable or mixed results among the three measures.

***More UCR on page 2: Congestion and reliability trends for each UCR City.***

# Urban Congestion Report (UCR)

A Snapshot of City Congestion Trends for July 2012 through September 2012



City	Congested Hours		Travel Time Index		Planning Time Index		% Change in VMT	% Usable Data
	2012	Change from 2011	2012	Change from 2011	2012	Change from 2011		
Atlanta, GA	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Boston, MA	5:50	<i>+0:01</i>	1.29	<i>+4</i>	1.69	<i>+6</i>	0%	100%
Chicago, IL	6:57	<b>-0:45</b>	1.21	<b>-12</b>	1.48	<b>-17</b>	-5%	83%
Detroit, MI	2:32	<b>-0:28</b>	1.11	<b>-1</b>	1.32	<b>-6</b>	-5%	100%
Houston, TX	4:10	<i>+1:33</i>	1.30	<i>+13</i>	1.70	<i>+42</i>	-2%	92%
Los Angeles, CA	6:09	<i>+0:36</i>	1.27	<i>+3</i>	1.55	<i>+7</i>	0%	99%
Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN	4:42	<i>+0:53</i>	1.23	<i>+4</i>	1.53	<i>+9</i>	0%	90%
Oklahoma City, OK	1:20	<b>-0:41</b>	1.06	<b>-1</b>	1.18	<b>-2</b>	+4%	100%
Orange County, CA	3:51	<i>+0:18</i>	1.21	<i>+3</i>	1.45	<i>+3</i>	+1%	99%
Philadelphia, PA	4:30	<b>-0:59</b>	1.18	<b>-3</b>	1.50	<b>-10</b>	+2%	100%
Phoenix, AZ	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Pittsburgh, PA	5:49	<b>-0:49</b>	1.22	<b>-7</b>	1.46	<b>-21</b>	+6%	100%
Portland, OR	1:53	<i>+0:02</i>	1.26	<i>+1</i>	1.61	0	-6%	32%
Providence, RI	2:42	<b>-0:17</b>	1.11	0%	1.33	<i>+1</i>	+2%	100%
Riverside – San Bernardino, CA	2:43	<i>+0:21</i>	1.10	<i>+1</i>	1.24	<b>-1</b>	+1%	99%
Sacramento, CA	1:36	<b>-0:11</b>	1.08	0	1.21	<i>+1</i>	0%	98%
St. Louis, MO	4:43	<i>+1:37</i>	1.07	<b>-1</b>	1.20	<b>-3</b>	-1%	96%
Salt Lake City, UT	1:44	<i>+0:33</i>	1.07	<i>+3</i>	1.20	<i>+7</i>	+6%	69%
San Antonio, TX	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
San Diego, CA	2:24	<i>+0:16</i>	1.11	<i>+1</i>	1.29	<i>+2</i>	0%	99%
San Francisco, CA	4:01	<i>+1:00</i>	1.18	<i>+4</i>	1.39	<i>+9</i>	-2%	99%
Seattle, WA	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Tampa, FL	3:13	<i>+0:15</i>	1.15	<i>+4</i>	1.40	<i>+11</i>	0%	100%

Notes: Green bolded values indicate improving conditions; red italics indicate worsening conditions.  
 "n.a." indicates that data was not available or was of insufficient quality.  
 Comparison of 2012 to 2011 is for the same three-month period (July – September).

***For more information on the UCR, contact Rich Taylor (Rich.Taylor@dot.gov).***