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Project Objectives

Provide strategies for improving Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) and mobility at truck freight bottlenecks

- Transportation System Management and Operations (TSMO)
- Freight-related capacity improvements
- Addressing congestion at truck freight bottlenecks
- Monitoring effectiveness
- Performance-based planning and programming process
- Congestion management process

Sources of Disruption Nationwide 2019

- Holiday 1%
- Signals 19%
- Signal & Weather 2%
- Weather 2%
- Incident & Weather 3%
- Incident & Workzone 3%
- Work Zone 1%
- Other Multiple Causes 13%
- Unclassified 12%

Source: Regional Integrated Transportation Information System (RITIS) 2019
Freight Mobility and Reliability: Performance Measures, Data, and Methodologies
Mobility Performance Measures

Observations reflect information in performance reports and literature

- **TTTR Measure** - National performance measure used to assess freight movement on the Interstate

- **Other Mobility Measures** - 85 percent of all States use other freight mobility performance measures in addition to the TTTR Measure

(*) All US States, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico

Source: States’ biennial performance reports, 2017 & 2019
Data Sources and Tools for Measuring the TTTR Index

• National Performance Management Research Data Set (NPMRDS) was the primary data source used by States

• Sixteen States incorporated additional vehicle probe data from third-party provider into TTTR analysis

• Three State Departments of Transportation (DOTs) used third-party data source

• Some States used data platforms to access NPMRDS and third-party speed/travel time data and to measure the TTTR index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Sources Used by the States</th>
<th>No. of States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPMRDS data as primary source</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPMRDS and incorporated data from a third-party source</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rely primarily on a third-party data source</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rely primarily on internal data source</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reporting data source</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: State biennial performance reports, 2017 & 2019
Freight Bottleneck Analysis and Reporting

- The number of truck bottlenecks identified by States varies significantly, from no identified bottlenecks in South Dakota, to over 700 bottlenecks in Illinois.
- On average, 60 truck bottlenecks were identified by each State.
- The median number of bottlenecks identified was 19.
- States identified bottlenecks along a combination of roadways.

**Distribution of the Number of Bottlenecks Identified by the States**

- **Interstates Only**: 20%
- **National Highway System (NHS)**: 53%
- **NHS and non-NHS**: 27%

**Source**: States' biennial performance reports, 2017 and 2019
Bottleneck Analysis Data and Methodologies

- Two-thirds of States used NPMRDS data to calculate delay
- 16 States relied on other truck GPS data, infrastructure condition data, commodity flow data, and crash data
- Caltrans used its own speed data

**Bottleneck Analysis Primary Data Sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>No. of States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPMRDS primary source</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMS volume data</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other internal data sources</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other truck GPS-based data</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure condition data</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity flow data</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road crash data</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reporting data source</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bottleneck Analysis Methodologies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Measure</th>
<th>Measures used in Conjunction</th>
<th>No. of States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delay per Mile</td>
<td>TTTTR Index</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay per Mile</td>
<td>Truck percent of total traffic</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay per Mile</td>
<td>Planning Time Index</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay per Mile</td>
<td>Truck crash rates</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay per Mile</td>
<td>Level of service</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay per Mile</td>
<td>Infrastructure characteristics</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time Reliability</td>
<td>Volume to capacity ratio</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time Reliability</td>
<td>Truck percent of total traffic</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time Reliability</td>
<td>Duration/frequency of congestion</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time Reliability</td>
<td>Truck travel speed profiles</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time Reliability</td>
<td>Crash and weather trends</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time Reliability</td>
<td>Road geometric design</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to Capacity Ratio</td>
<td>No other measure</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to Capacity Ratio</td>
<td>Delay per mile</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to Capacity Ratio</td>
<td>Infrastructure design</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to Capacity Ratio</td>
<td>Crash and weather trends</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>No other measure</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>No other measure</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: States’ biennial performance reports, 2017 & 2019
Collaborative Efforts to Identify Freight Bottlenecks

- Majority of States reporting on truck bottlenecks used stakeholder engagement to validate their bottleneck analysis results
- Several States highlighted their regional partnership efforts for addressing bottlenecks

![Stakeholder Outreach on Truck Bottlenecks]

Source: States’ biennial performance reports, 2017 and 2019
Truck Bottleneck Mitigation and Decision-Making Activities

- 24 States had measurement programs mentioned in their bottleneck submittals, State freight plans, or related documents
- Truck bottleneck analysis was also used to prioritize improvement projects
- Majority of States reported ongoing outreach with State Freight Advisory Committees to continue dialogue about locations and performance of truck bottlenecks

**Use of Truck Bottleneck Information in Decision Making**

- Bottlenecks used to select, identify and prioritize projects
- Bottlenecks used to direct other planning activities
- Bottlenecks not widely used in decision-making
- No information on bottlenecks used in decision-making

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improvement Project</th>
<th>No. of States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road widening/capacity expansion</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resurfacing/pavement rehabilitation/reconstruction</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge/tunnel improvements</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS implementation</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interchange improvements</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramp improvements</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck parking improvements</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal programs</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** States' biennial performance reports, 2017 and 2019
Peer Exchange – Summary of Mobility Performance Measure Discussion (10/19/2021)

• **Variation In Approaches and Common Measures** - States are using common measures such as delay per mile and cost of delay to link freight performance to economic development. Less focus on sustainability and other social impacts.

• **Visualization** – Is used by some state DOTs as a way to communicate bottlenecks.

• **Highway and Multimodal** - Most States focused on highway freight performance; some States like Iowa and Louisiana conduct multimodal performance benchmarking.

• **Grouping of Bottlenecks Scaled to the Size of the State** - Bottlenecks that reflect freight mobility conditions within the state and mix of urban and rural areas.

• **Prioritizing Bottlenecks** - Virginia uses a seven-point scale incorporating intensity and truck volume to feed decision-making process.

• **Avoiding Silos** - Working across agencies (collaborating with bridge, maintenance, etc.)

• **Methodologies for Temporal Visibility** - States developing methodologies and tools that incorporate Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) methods and measures and other sources and tracking over time, often as part of the State freight plan update cycle.
Peer Exchange – Summary of Solution Discussion (10/19/2021)

• **Tools to Monitor Mobility**
  - Focus on freight data innovations and fusing existing data to improve mobility planning and management
  - Use of online/interactive platforms to enable mobility monitoring programs

• **Strategies to Improve Mobility**
  - Investigating the cause of recurring and non-recurring congestion to identify issues
  - Establishing feedback loops with the internal and external stakeholders
  - Deploying communications and leveraging applications
  - Focus on project implementation phase after completing planning efforts
  - Safety improvements to mitigate non-recurring delays
  - Ramp metering/variable speed limits (both may save money on capacity)
  - **Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSMO) requires a “Portfolio” or “Programmatic” approach**
Peer Exchange – Summary of Solution Discussion (continued)

• **Challenges**
  • Funding and tradeoffs (which strategies, data, systems have the best return on investment)
  • Political challenges and role of government
  • Data are essential but not inexpensive
  • Establishing common understanding of how to measure and communicate operational issues (e.g., regions within a State may interpret incidents differently)
Toolbox of Solutions
Toolbox of Solutions

Transportation Systems Management and Operations

Freight Information Technology
- Freight traveler information systems
- Truck safety warning systems
- Truck parking information management systems
- Access management
- Curb loading zone management
- Traffic incident management
- Work zone management
- Off-peak deliveries
- Road weather management
- Arterial management
- Integrated corridor management
- Active traffic demand management
- Managed lanes
- Truck lanes
- Congestion pricing
- Ramp metering
- Port appointment systems
- Marine Highways Program
- Inland ports
- Rail intermodal
- Short line rail

Freight Planning and Management
- Connected and automated vehicles
- Organization and planning for operations
- Operations and freight performance management

Intermodal Transportation
- Intermodal connectors
- Managed lanes
- Truck lanes
- Truck climbing lanes
- Truck parking information management systems
- Border wait time information
- Smart roadside monitoring
- Electronic credentialing
- Weigh-in-motion
- Truck safety warning systems
- Access management
- Curb loading zone management
- Traffic incident management
- Work zone management
- Off-peak deliveries
- Road weather management
- Arterial management
- Integrated corridor management
- Active traffic demand management
- Managed lanes
- Truck lanes
- Congestion pricing
- Ramp metering
- Port appointment systems
- Marine Highways Program
- Inland ports
- Rail intermodal
- Short line rail
Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSMO)

- TSMO strategies focus on operational improvements that maximize operational performance of the existing transportation system.
- Goal is to get the most performance out of the transportation facilities already in place.
- Approaches performance from a systems perspective.
- Spans corridors, jurisdictions, modes, and agencies.
- Helps agencies balance supply and demand on the system and provide flexible solutions to manage dynamic conditions.
- Can complement capacity projects or provide lower cost, faster alternatives in some cases.
Freight Traveler Information Systems

• **Freight-Specific Dynamic Travel Planning and Performance**
  • Integrates data on wait times at ports, traffic conditions, incident alerts, work zones, and routing restrictions
  • Benefits:
    • Real-time information and dynamic routing for drivers
    • Adaptive communication between drayage company, drivers, and port terminals
    • Performance monitoring elements

• **Intermodal Drayage Operations Optimization**
  • Combines container load matching and freight information exchange systems to fully optimize drayage operations
  • Benefits:
    • Individual trucks are assigned best time windows for pick-up or drop-off
    • Utilizes travel information and information on port terminal conditions to optimize operations
    • Minimizes wasted miles and spreads truck arrivals at port terminals throughout the day
Electronic Credentialing and Permitting

- Enables motor carriers to electronically apply, pay, and receive oversized/overweight permits, International Registration Plan (IRP) and International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) credentials

- Benefits:
  - Systems are available 24 hours a day and reduce costs and time associated with paper-based systems
  - When coupled with roadside electronic screening, trucks can be identified at highway speeds, which:
    - Allows legal trucks to bypass weigh stations
    - Provides inspectors real-time data to decide whether to call in vehicles
    - Controls volume of traffic through and around weigh stations
  - Safety information exchange allows electronic collection and exchange of safety performance information among states, federal agencies, and motor carriers

Source: New York State DMV
Smart Roadside Commercial Motor Vehicle Monitoring

- Roadside technologies that collect information on trucks traveling at highway speeds
- Automated USDOT Number recognition systems and license plate readers for commercial motor vehicle screening
- Thermal inspection systems scan axle sets to identify unsafe equipment (e.g., inoperative brakes or under-inflated tires)
- LiDAR sensors scan vehicle and load length, width, and height to confirm vehicle is within size limits
- Mobile website developed for law enforcement
- Mobile app developed for truck drivers to notify them to pull into or bypass weigh station

Benefits:
- Collects truck data and screens trucks at highway speeds
- Facilitates more efficient, dynamic inspection and enforcement

Source: FHWA
Weigh-in-Motion (WIM)

- Sensors collect data on truck and axle weight, length, speed, and travel date/time
- Used for enforcement, data collection, freight and congestion planning, pavement studies, crash rate calculations, and corridor management
- Used to pre-screen trucks as they enter weigh station to assist the processing of commercial vehicles
- Benefits:
  - Allows for continuous measurement of trucks traveling on the highway, without diverting or stopping them
- **Caltrans Truck Activity Monitoring System (TAMS):** Inductive signature-based truck body classification models deployed at WIM and inductive loop detector sites

**WIM Applications**

- Bypass WIM systems installed upstream of weigh stations
- In-station WIM systems used to pre-screen trucks
- WIM used in conjunction with vehicle screening systems
- Mainline data WIM systems used for data collection
Truck Queue Management / Appointment Systems at Ports

• Truck Reservation Systems (TRS)
  • Allow drayage firms to make efficient dispatching plans with reduced driver queue times
  • Allow marine terminals control workloads, thereby reducing drayage congestion and delay

• Port of Virginia PRO-PASS TRS
  • Carriers calling at the port’s Norfolk International Terminal or Virginia International Gateway must have a reservation
  • Truck turn times reduced up to 32%

Source: Port of Virginia
Truck Parking Information Management Systems

• Disseminate real-time parking utilization information to maximize use of existing sites

• Variety of sensing technology provides space occupancy, entrance/exit counts, or area-wide truck identification
  • Video technology
  • In-ground sensors
  • Infrared sensors
  • Above-ground radar
  • Side laser scanner

• Predictive algorithms to estimate utilization by time and day

• Data disseminated through
  • Roadside dynamic message signs
  • In-vehicle technology
  • Smartphone applications
  • 511 websites

Source: MDOT
Border Wait Time Information

Niagara International Transportation Technology Coalition (NITTEC), New York regional border wait time data

- Bluetooth readers along border crossing bridges and approaching roadways capture the speed and travel time data to calculate
  - **Actual Delay** - Difference between travel time and the known free flow travel time
  - **Current Delay** - A smoothed delay measure of several data reads; this is the measure that is reported to the public

- Congestion decreased as travelers make informed decisions about where and when to cross the border

- During severe snow events, local police use NITTEC’s clearinghouse to direct truck drivers to temporary parking areas

Intelligent and Efficient Border Crossing Program at Otay Mesa East, California

- USDOT working with Federal, State, and local partners
- Developing plan for tolling system using dynamic pricing
Truck Safety Warning Systems

• Truck Rollover Warning System
  • WIM determines weight, axle spacing, and vehicle classification to determine safe speed
  • Dynamic warning sign warns truck drivers to slow down
  • *Washington Beltway in Virginia and Maryland* - No accidents reported during the 3-year post deployment test period

• In-Cab Alerts
  • Real-time traffic alert systems warn drivers of congestion ahead through in-cab alerts
  • Gives truck drivers time to reduce speed safely to help prevent crashes
  • *New Jersey DOT Real-Time Traffic Alert System for Commercial Vehicles* - Partnership with Intelligent Imaging Systems (Drivewyze) and INRIX, offering in-cab traffic congestion updates and slowdown alerts

Source: MNDOT
Truck Safety Warning Systems (continued)

• Dynamic Truck Downhill Speed Warning System
  • WIM sensor information calculates maximum safe descent speeds for trucks
  • Safe speed posted on DMS to alert drivers as they approached
  • I-70 in Colorado - 13% decrease in accidents and 24% reduction in use of truck runaway ramps

• Bridge and Tunnel Strike Mitigation
  • Overheight warning systems and early warning detection systems
  • Driver education and guidance systems
  • Vehicle-based technology
Georgia High Risk Commercial Vehicle Notification Project Case Study
High-Risk Commercial Motor Vehicle Notifications

Kaitlyn Stewart, EIT

March 21st, 2022
Interstate Fatalities

Interstate Fatalities - 2019

Involving CMVs: 23%

Not Involving CMVs: 77%

CMV’s: Commercial Motor Vehicles

Source: GDOT
Challenge

• High value, low cost
• Improve driver experience and driver safety

Why Drivewyze??
Project Goals

1. Improve safety of freight movement
2. Automated visual and audible safety alerting to CMVs
3. Collect and analyze data on driver behavior
Location Determination

Evaluated high risk areas using crash data:

- Severity
- Crash Type
- Vehicle Type (involving CMV)

Other factors considered:

- Roadway Geometry
- Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) and Truck %

Source: GDOT
Messaging

- Crash type – what are we preventing?
- Succinct/not distracting
Locations 1 through 4 – Cobb Cloverleaf I-75 at I-285

Accent Type: Sideswipe – Same Direction Rear-End

1. I-75 SB
2. I-285 WB
3. I-75 NB
4. I-285 EB

CONGESTION AREA
USE CAUTION

Density Plot of Hard Braking Events

Source: GDOT
Location 10 – I-75 SB at I-675

Accident Type:
Run off the road

Density Plot of Hard Braking Events

Source: GDOT

SHARP CURVE AHEAD
SLOW DOWN

Source: GDOT
### Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>% Improvement in Hard Braking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. I-75/285</td>
<td>SB Approach</td>
<td>+12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. I-75/285</td>
<td>WB Approach</td>
<td>+4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I-75/285</td>
<td>NB Approach</td>
<td>+50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I-75/285</td>
<td>EB Approach</td>
<td>+4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. I-285/20</td>
<td>SB Approach</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I-285/20</td>
<td>EB Approach</td>
<td>+10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. I-285/20</td>
<td>NB Approach</td>
<td>+13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. I-20</td>
<td>WB at Thornton Rd</td>
<td>-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. I-75</td>
<td>SB at Morrow</td>
<td>+18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. I-75</td>
<td>SB at I-675</td>
<td>+19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GDOT in partnership with Drivewyze
Lessons Learned

Currently Addressing:

• What was the reason for negative correlation at two locations?
• Was the reduction in hard breaking only when notifications were first displayed?

Potential Next Steps

• Expansion to additional static locations
• Expansion to dynamic messaging
Questions?

Contact Info:
Kaitlyn Stewart, EIT
KaStewart@dot.ga.gov | 404-635-2907
Work Zone Management for Trucks

• Incorporate truck considerations in work zone transportation management plans and work zone traffic impact analyses

• Include truck driver-focused information and outreach

• Provide real-time traveler information for pre-trip and enroute

• Work Zone Data Exchange (WZDx) used to make harmonized work zone data available for third parties to get information to drivers

Source: USDOT
Work Zone Strategies for Trucks

- Maintain sufficient lane widths to better accommodate large trucks
- Minimize large design speed restrictions for lane shifts, crossovers, or other geometric features
- Encourage truck diversion, ensuring alternate route can accommodate truck volumes, size and weight
- Appropriate design speed
- Sufficient warning of limited lane width
- Adequate acceleration lanes at entrance ramps with good sight distances
- Queue warning systems to advise motors approaching stop vehicles
- Temporary truck-only lane through work zone on heavy freight routes
- Rest area truck parking maintained during road work
- Heavier shadow vehicles with proper truck-mounted attenuators

Source: Texas A&M Transportation Institute
Texas Connected Freight Corridors Case Study
Texas Connected Freight Corridors (TCFC)

Jianming Ma, Ph.D., P.E., PMP®

Traffic Safety Division
Texas Department of Transportation (TXDOT)
Implementing connected vehicle Technology to enable safe and efficient Goods movement through key freight Corridors ion the Texas Triangle.
Texas Connected Freight Corridors (TCFC) Project

Goals

GOALS

IMPROVE SAFETY

OBJECTIVE

- Reduce crashes, injuries and fatalities
- Provide early detection upstream
- Provide advance warning
- Improve work zone safety

ENHANCE MOBILITY

OBJECTIVE

- Improve travel time delay
- Increase reliability
- Informed route decisions
- Increase disaster response

REDUCE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

OBJECTIVE

- Minimize stops, idle time
- Reduce freight congestion
- Improve fuel efficiency

ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES

OBJECTIVE

- Deploy V2X technologies
- Recruit fleet owners
- Improve data collection

PROMOTE ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

OBJECTIVE

- Increase volume, tonnage
- Improve distribution movement
- Position Texas as trade hub

Source: TXDOT
Benefits

1. Gain access to near-real-time information on work zones, traffic queues, alternative travel routes, and wrong-way drivers.

2. Achieve proof of concept before making a large connected vehicle technology investment.

3. Contribute to a model that will set and example for future CV deployment and develop national standards.

Source: TXDOT
Overall Project Timeline

Phase 1: High Level Design and Planning
April 2019 to March 2020

Phase 2: Detailed Design and Testing
April 2020 to March 2022

Phase 3: Operation and Self-Evaluation
April 2022 to March 2023
Phase 1 Summary

High Level Design and Planning
Stakeholders: Public Sector

Public Sector

Core Team

PUBLIC SECTOR

TxDOT Districts
Austin, Bryan, Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, Laredo, San Antonio, Waco, Yoakum

Regional Agencies
North Central Texas Council of Governments, Houston TranStar, City of Austin, City of San Antonio, Department of Public Safety (DPS)

Source: TxDOT
Stakeholders: Private Sector

- **Active Industry Partners**: HEB, Crete Carrier, TuSimple, Kodiak Robotics, PepsiCo, Coca-Cola
- **Industry Affiliates**: Ford
- **Telematics**: GeoTab, Omnitracs, PeopleNet, Drivewyze
- **Other**: Volvo, Peterbilt, Daimler, Texas Trucking Association, AllianceTexas

Source: TXDOT
Prioritize Connected Vehicle Applications

TIERS

01
- Work Zone Warning
- Queue Warning
- Wrong-Way Drivers
- Freight Signal Priority

02
- Advanced Traveler Information System (ATIS)
- Road Weather Warning
- Truck Parking Availability
- Bridge Height Warning

03
- Emergency Electronic Brake Light
- Pedestrian & Animal Warning
- Eco-Dynamic Routing
- Border Wait Times

Highlighted applications are prioritized for development
## Recruit Freight Partner Fleets

### Freight Partners
- HEB
- Coca-Cola
- Persico
- Kodiak
- Tu simple
- Crete Carrier Corporation

### Industry
- Retail
- Retail
- Retail
- Automated Carrier
- Automated Carrier

### Total Partner Trucks Estimate
- 432-50

### OBU Equipped Trucks Estimate
- 50-100
Identify Candidate Corridors

Down-Selected for Deployment Based on:
- Application alignment
- Partner traffic
- Existing intelligent transportation system (ITS) infrastructure

- I-35 (AllianceTexas)
- I-30 (Fort Worth to Dallas)
- I-35 (US 290 to Rundberg Ln)
- I-35 (12th St to Craven Ave)
- I-35 (Y to 281)
  Rittiman Rd (HEB to I-35)
- I-35 (Calton Rd to Border Patrol Station)

- I-45 (SH-7 to Freestone County Line)
- I-45 (SH 249 to Conroe)
- I-10 (Wolchik Rd to Brazos River)
- I-35 (183 to Y to 281)
  I-12 (US 290 to Rundberg Ln)
- I-30 (Fort Worth to Dallas)
- I-35 (12th St to Craven Ave)
- I-35 (Alliance Texas)
- I-30 (Fort Worth to Dallas)

Source: TXDOT

Texas Connected Freight Corridors (TCFC) Project
Phase 2 Activities

Detailed Design and Testing
# Phase 2 Work Flows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Management</th>
<th>Procure Hardware</th>
<th>Application Development</th>
<th>Data Security Management</th>
<th>Deployment Preparations</th>
<th>Stakeholder Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Plan</td>
<td>Specify Hardware</td>
<td>Detailed Design</td>
<td>SCMS</td>
<td>Stakeholder Outreach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revise P1</td>
<td>Evaluate &amp; Procure</td>
<td>Application Development</td>
<td>CV Data Framework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Application Testing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Integration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TXDOT
Conduct Detailed Design

Estimated Partner Traffic: 1,235 trips/week

Applications: Queue warning, freight signal priority

Physical Mounting Locations
- Traffic signal poles at signalized intersections
- Power: Y
- Network Connection: 4G modem connected to the City's communication network

More Info: Priority request would be considered every 3 signal cycles

Source: TXDOT
Evaluate and Procure Hardware

Connected Vehicles (C-V2X) Onboard Units (OBUs)

C-V2X and Dual-Mode Roadside Units (RSUs)


Source: TXDOT

Source: TXDOT
Leveraging In-Cab Equipment

**Telematics Providers For Fleet Partners**
- Omnitracs
- Geotab
- Peoplenet
- Keep Truckin

**Integration Solution**
- Drivewyze
  - Zero-installation Participation option
Data Management

- **CVDF: Connected Vehicle Data Framework**
  - Integrate CVDF into TCFC system
  - Develop in-house
  - Integrate with Lonestar

- **SCMS: Security Credential Management System**
  - Results documented in SCMS Integration Report and recommendations presented
  - Multiple viable options identified
  - TxDOT working on procurement document for statewide SCMS services

Source: TXDOT
Operation Example – Work Zone Warning

Cab View

TMC Operator
Operation Example – Work Zone Warning

Cab View

TMC Operator

Source: TXDOT
Next Steps

- Continue application development
- Finalize deployment corridors and V2X equipment allocations
- Prepare TxDOT Districts and Freight Partners for deployment
- Phase 3 will be one year of operating and maintaining the new CV system alongside the performance evaluation
Connected and Automated Vehicles

• **Automated vehicles** equipped with technologies that allow a safety-critical control function (e.g., steering, throttle, or braking) to occur without direct driver input

• **Connected vehicles** communicate with others and infrastructure to coordinate movements and increase efficiency and safety

• Automation of supply chain to enhance reliability
  • Cooperative automation applications (vehicle platooning, speed harmonization, and cooperative lane change and merge functions)
  • Vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V), vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I), and infrastructure-to-vehicle (I2V) connectivity to monitor and report road conditions
  • Trucks interface with automated equipment and facilities at intermodal terminals, distribution centers, shippers, and receivers
  • Automated vehicles technology can be used in automated terminal equipment and autonomous freight delivery

Source: USDOT
Road Weather Management

Weather Responsive Traffic Management involves road weather data from mobile and connected vehicle technologies to support traffic and maintenance management strategies during inclement weather

• **Advisory strategies** - provide information on prevailing and predicted conditions to both transportation managers and motorists

• **Control strategies** - alter the state of roadway devices to permit or restrict traffic flow and regulate roadway capacity

• **Treatment strategies** - supply resources to roadways to minimize or eliminate weather impacts

Source: FHWA
Road Weather Management (continued)

Weather responsive traffic management strategies and technologies

- Speed management systems (variable speed limits)
- Low visibility warning systems (snow, rain, dust, fog)
- Forward collision warnings
- High-wind alert systems
- Maintenance Decision Support System (MDSS)
- Transportation operations center
- Traveler information 511 sites
- Emergency truck parking.

Source: FHWA
Wyoming I-80 Connected Vehicle Pilot and Road Weather Management Program Case Study
Why Road Weather Management?
Wyoming Department of Transportation (WYDOT) Approach

- **Roadway Design**
  - Good roadway design
  - Select best geometry using modeling tools

- **Mitigation**
  - Slope modifications
  - Snow fence

- **Technology**
  - Intelligent Transportation Systems
  - Connected Vehicle Technology
Results of Bad Weather
Truck Traffic/Passenger Vehicles

• Societal and Economic impacts
  • Road closures
  • Crashes
  • Fatalities

Source: WYDOT
# Road Weather Management Focus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Trip Systems</th>
<th>Roadside Systems</th>
<th>Maintenance Systems</th>
<th>In-Vehicle Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Text website</td>
<td>• Dynamic Message Signs (DMS)</td>
<td>• Road condition reporting system</td>
<td>• Connected Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Map website</td>
<td>• Variable speed limits (VSL)</td>
<td></td>
<td>• On-board Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Phone system</td>
<td>• Highway advisory radio (HAR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mobile App</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Text/Email</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Trip Systems

511 Notify
- About 111,000 subscribers
- 27 mission messages

Website hits
- 1.6 billion web hit (2019)

511 Phone Calls
- About 132,000 calls (2020)

CVOP
- About 2400 registered users

Mobile App
- Over 300,000 downloads

CVOP: Connected vehicle operations pilot
Roadside Systems - VSLs

- **VSL System**
  - Sign, RWIS, Speed Sensors

- **People**
  - ITS Technicians
  - TMC Operators
  - Patrol
  - Maintenance

- **Processes**
  - Must have good policies

Source: WYDOT
Current VSL Deployments

Source: WYDOT
Maintenance Systems - Road Condition Reporting

- **Road Condition Reporting System**
- Tablet-based System For Plow Ops
- Allows condition reports
- Allows crash reports
- Allows geotags for later reporting
- Allows VSL recommendations

Source: WYDOT
Maintenance Systems - RCR

• Provides information to the plow operator
• Current location to a 1/10 mile
• Weather forecast
• Current weather
• State of DMS
• RWIS information

Source: WYDOT
In-Vehicle Systems
Connected Vehicle

- Infrastructure to Vehicle
  - Traveler Information Messages

- Vehicle to Infrastructure
  - Driver response
  - Road weather sensor data

- Vehicle to Vehicle
  - Distress Notification
  - Forward Collision Warning

Source: WYDOT
On-Board Applications
Distress Notification

- Generation and broadcast of distress message
- Connected vehicle and road-side unit within vicinity receive and forward message
On-Board Applications
Distress Notification

- Connected vehicle and road-side unit within vicinity receive and forward message

Source: WYDOT
Connected Vehicle On-board Systems

• Federal Communications Commission (FCC) – Dedicated short range communication (DSRC) is going away

• We lose vehicle to infrastructure and vehicle to vehicle connection applications

• All on-board units were purchased with DSRC and Satellite capability

• Statewide traffic incident messages being delivered by satellite

Source: WYDOT
Arterial Management and Traffic Signal Timing for Trucks

- **Arterial Management Systems** - Manage traffic along arterial roadways, employing vehicle detectors, information communication technologies, and traffic signal systems

- **Truck Signal Priority**
  - Signals at intersections with high truck volumes extend green time to allow trucks to make it through an intersection without stopping
  - Port gates are timed to control flow through the port and into gates, channeling queues and preventing blockages at signalized intersections

- **Freight Vehicle Priority** - Systems combined with dedicated routing lanes, channeling truck flow and avoiding adverse mixing with non-port traffic

- **Eco-Freight Signal Priority** - Prioritizes based on real-time traffic and emissions data to reduce emissions

**Benefits of Truck Signal Priority**

- Reduces delays caused by the longer time it takes trucks to accelerate
- Increased vehicular capacity of intersection through minimizing truck start-up lost time
- Provides safer phase termination for trucks (i.e., decision zone protection)
- Reduces truck red light running and potential crash
- Reduces truck emissions
Access Management at Major Interchanges and Freight Facilities

- **Access Spacing** - spacing driveways from intersections and interchanges; spacing of signalized intersections
- **Driveway Spacing** – aligning opposing driveways and sharing access and service roads
- **Safe Turning Lanes** – providing dedicated left- and right-turn lanes, roundabouts, and innovative intersections
- **Median Treatments** - limiting left turns and providing directional crossovers
- **Right-of-Way Management** – restricting access and maintaining corridor sight distance
- **Local planning and coordination** - planning and coordination on:
  - Corridor access management plans
  - Site plans, subdivisions, and access permits

Source: Google Maps
Off-Peak Deliveries

• Off-peak truck delivery programs
  • Shift deliveries from daytime/peak periods to off-hours (overnight)
  • Deliveries can be attended or unattended where drivers leave goods in a safe and secure location
  • May involve incentives to help companies investigate and move to off-peak delivery

• Benefits
  • Increase business efficiency for suppliers and retailers
  • Reduce fuel consumption and CO₂ emissions
  • Reduce traffic during peak hours

Source: NYC DOT
Off-Peak Deliveries (Continued)

**New York City DOT Off-Hour Delivery (OHD) Program**

- 2009-10 Pilot Program Findings
  - 40 to 50 daily delivery tours switched to off-peak hours, for a total carrier savings of $2.25 million annually
  - 20% of truck traffic could be shifted to off-peak hours, with benefits of $150-$200 million in travel time savings and productivity increases

- Current Program Expansion
  - Increase number of deliveries between 7 pm and 6 am at locations with high pedestrian volumes, traffic congestion, and limited curb space
  - Steps to Implementation:
    1. Marketing strategy, website, and focus outreach to businesses
    2. Noise management and noise mitigation best practices
    3. Recognition program to foster behavioral change

Source: NYC DOT
Curb Loading Zone Management

District of Columbia DOT CurbFlow Pilot Project

- Curb parking removed at 9 locations and drivers reserve loading zone time online
- Reduced double-parking by 64%
- Over 6,350 commercial drivers from more than 900 companies registered to use the service and made 15,000 reservations

Columbus, Ohio CurbFlow Pilot Project

- Commercial drivers, taxis, and ride-hailing services use app to make loading zone reservations, as well as check in and check out

Omaha, Nebraska Coord Smart Zone Pilot Project

- Provides drivers for delivery and service providers incentive to load in designated locations where it is safe, efficient, and legal while collecting data for the city

Curbside Management Program

- Map curb space
- Define program objectives and desired uses of curbs
- Inventory potential users, needs, and value propositions
- Develop technology tools (apps) in-house or through partnerships to allocate access, monitor use, collect fees, and enforce rules
- Implement pilot at key locations
- Observe results and adjust / expand program to meet objectives

Source: SWBID
Active Traffic Demand Management

• Active Traffic Management
  • Dynamic management of recurrent and non-recurrent congestion based on prevailing and predicted traffic conditions

• Active Demand Management
  • Dynamic management of demand, including by redistributing travel to less congested times of day or routes, or reducing overall vehicle trips by influencing a mode choice

Active traffic management strategies and technologies

• Dynamic lane use / shoulder control
• Dynamic speed limits
• Queue warning
• Adaptive ramp metering
• Dynamic rerouting
• Dynamic junction control
• Adaptive signal control

Source: Pew
Ramp Metering

• Traffic signals installed on freeway on-ramps to control the frequency at which vehicles enter the flow of traffic on the freeway

• Reduces overall freeway congestion by managing the amount of traffic entering the freeway

• **Colorado coordinated ramp metering system:**
  - Pilot project in one of I-25 that coordinates ramp meters on the corridor
  - Uses a network of sensors supported by an algorithm to determine how many vehicles to release at each ramp based on traffic flow.

Source: CO DOT
Integrated Corridor Management (ICM)

• Institutional collaboration and aggressive, proactive integration of existing infrastructure to manage corridors as a whole multimodal system

• Demonstration projects on US 75 in Dallas, TX and I-15 in San Diego, CA

• Consider multimodal freight options (rail, waterway) for major Interstate corridors

ICM Response Plan

• Travelers are advised via DMS and other traveler information sources (e.g., 511) to take a parallel route where there is capacity

• Signal timing on the parallel route is changed to manage flow of the detoured traffic

Source: DKS Associates
Traffic Incident Management (TIM)

- Planned and coordinated multi-disciplinary process to detect, respond to, and clear traffic incidents so that traffic flow may be restored as safely and quickly as possible

- Benefits
  - Reduces the duration and impacts of traffic incidents
  - Improves the safety of motorists, crash victims, and emergency responders
  - Reduces the frequency of secondary crashes

Florida DOT Rapid Incident Scene Clearance

- Contractors must respond within 60 minutes
- 90 minutes to open travel lanes to traffic
- Bonus if towing company clears travel lanes within 90 minutes
- Requires vendors to have specified extra equipment available 24/7 to respond to commercial vehicle crashes

Source: FDOT
Managed Lanes and Congestion Pricing

**Managed Lanes**
- Highway facilities or a set of lanes where operational strategies are proactively implemented and managed in response to changing conditions

**Congestion Pricing**
- Recognizes differences in trip value by time, place, and users
- Premiums are charged during periods of peak demand to reduce the waste associated with traffic congestion
Truck Lanes

- **Georgia DOT I-75 Commercial Vehicle Lanes Project (pre-construction)**
  - Two new, barrier-separated, non-tolled commercial vehicle-only lanes
  - Improve mobility and safety for freight operators and passenger vehicles

- **Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority/Caltrans I-5 North County Enhancements Project (construction)**
  - Extends existing NB truck-only lane
  - Adds SB truck-only lane to 3-4 miles

- **Florida DOT Truck Lane Restrictions on I-95 and I-75 (operational)**
  - Restricts trucks from the inside travel lane
  - Improves safety by reducing weaving maneuvers and truck conflicts

**Types of truck lanes**

- Separated truck-only lanes
- Separated auto-only and mixed-flow (truck and auto) lanes
- Lane restrictions for trucks
- Interchange by-passes for trucks
- Short connectors from major port facilities

Source: Google
Truck Climbing Lanes

• Addition of a lane on uphill segments of highways with steep grades
  • Provide additional, safe travel lane for slower-moving trucks
  • Provide additional capacity on uphill grades to allow faster traffic to pass
  • Reduce crashes and backups

• San Bernardino County Transportation Authority/Caltrans I-10 EB Truck Climbing Lane Project (pre-construction)
  • Extend existing truck climbing lane along a steep uphill portion of I-10
  • Separate trucks and other slow-moving vehicles from faster-moving passenger vehicles
  • Reduce frequency of truck-related crashes

Source: MO DOT
Intermodal Connectors

• Intermodal connectors provide last-mile connection to major rail, port, airport, and intermodal freight facilities

• National Highway System (NHS) Intermodal Connectors inventory, 2017
  • Approximately 1,484 miles of NHS freight intermodal connectors
  • Majority need capacity and state-of-good-repair improvements
  • 54% owned by municipalities
  • 29% owned by State agencies

• Intermodal Connector Improvements
  • Federal formula and discretionary grant programs
  • Specific State funding programs for freight improvement projects
  • Connector improvement projects in Chicago, Seattle-Tacoma, and Stockton leveraged multiple funding sources, including private-sector funding sources

Improving Intermodal Connectors

• Add auxiliary lanes, driving lanes, turn lanes
• Exit ramp and access upgrades
• Pavement resurfacing and reconstruction
• Grade separation

Source: Baltimore Sun
Marine Highway Program – Marine Highway Routes

- Marine Highway System currently includes 28 "Marine Highway Routes" that serve as extensions of the surface transportation system
- Marine Highways provide additional freight capacity
  - Relieve landside congestion
  - Reduce wear and tear on roads and bridges
  - Improve economic competitiveness
  - Improve fuel efficiency
  - Reduce emissions
- Areas with land-based congestion are areas that Marine Highway operators could best serve through ocean, inland waterway, and lake access, particularly along the I-5, I-95, and I-10 highway corridors

Source: MARAD
Marine Highway Program – Marine Highway Projects

Marine Highway Program’s goal is to expand the use of navigable waters, working with public and private organizations

• Develop and expand marine highway service options and facilitate further integration into the current U.S. transportation system
• Highlight benefits, increase awareness, and promote waterways as an alternative to "landside" transportation

Developing and expanding ports and terminals requires specialized equipment, infrastructure and vessel needs

• Sufficient channel and berth depth
• Marine structures, such as piers, wharves, and fender systems
• Sufficient cargo storage area, cargo transfer equipment, and terminal handling equipment
• Gate operations
• Landside access improvements, such as rail connections, rail loading/unloading tracks, and roadway improvements

Source: Global Trade Magazine
Iowa Inland Waterways Case Study
Iowa Freight Planning
Inland Waterways

FHWA Freight Workshop | March 21, 2022

Source: Iowa DOT
INLAND WATERWAYS

Contents

• Inventory
• System performance
• Bottleneck identification
• Methods to improve performance, reliability, and bottlenecks
  – State Freight Plan implementation
  – New barge terminal
  – New port statistical area
  – Mooring cell pilot project

Source: Iowa DOT
INLAND WATERWAYS

Inventory

Missouri River (M-29)

Mississippi River (M-35)

Source: Iowa DOT
System performance

- Condition
- Utilization
- Safety
- Reliability (bottlenecks)

Freight-related safety statistics (M-29 & M-35)

Source: Iowa DOT
## Bottleneck identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Freight mobility issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iowa 9 highway bridge</td>
<td>Lansing</td>
<td>Curve of the river, dolphin protectors, and bridge piers cause barge delays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lock and Dam 9</strong></td>
<td>Harpers Ferry</td>
<td>Age (1938), chamber size (600 ft.), delay (1.3 hours), annual closures (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lock and Dam 10</strong></td>
<td>Guttenberg</td>
<td>Age (1936), chamber size (600 ft.), delay (2.5 hours), annual closures (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lock and Dam 11</strong></td>
<td>Dubuque</td>
<td>Age (1937), chamber size (600 ft.), delay (2.5 hours), annual closures (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN rail bridge*</td>
<td>Dubuque</td>
<td>Swing-span rail bridge must be opened to accommodate barge traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lock and Dam 12</strong></td>
<td>Bellevue</td>
<td>Age (1939), chamber size (600 ft.), delay (2.1 hours), annual closures (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP rail bridge*</td>
<td>Sabula</td>
<td>Swing-span rail bridge must be opened to accommodate barge traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lock and Dam 13</strong></td>
<td>Clinton</td>
<td>Age (1938), chamber size (600 ft.), delay (1 hour), annual closures (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP rail bridge*</td>
<td>Clinton</td>
<td>Swing-span rail bridge must be opened to accommodate barge traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lock and Dam 14</strong></td>
<td>Le Claire</td>
<td>Age (1922), chamber size (600 ft.), delay (1.7 hours), annual closures (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Bridge*</td>
<td>Davenport</td>
<td>Swing-span rail bridge must be opened to accommodate barge traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lock and Dam 15</strong></td>
<td>Rock Island (IL)</td>
<td>Age (1934), chamber size (600 ft.), delay (2 hours), annual closures (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crescent Bridge*</td>
<td>Davenport</td>
<td>Swing-span rail bridge must be opened to accommodate barge traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lock and Dam 16</strong></td>
<td>Muscatine</td>
<td>Age (1937), chamber size (600 ft.), delay (2.6 hours), annual closures (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lock and Dam 17</strong></td>
<td>New Boston (IL)</td>
<td>Age (1939), chamber size (600 ft.), delay (1.8 hours), annual closures (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lock and Dam 18</strong></td>
<td>Gladstone (IL)</td>
<td>Age (1937), chamber size (600 ft.), delay (1.3 hours), annual closures (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNSF rail bridge*</td>
<td>Fort Madison</td>
<td>Swing-span rail bridge must be opened to accommodate barge traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lock and Dam 19</strong></td>
<td>Keokuck</td>
<td>Age (1957), delay (1.4 hours), annual closures (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KJRY rail bridge*</td>
<td>Keokuk</td>
<td>Swing-span rail bridge must be opened to accommodate barge traffic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Iowa DOT
Methods to improve

State Freight Plan

• Implementation strategies
• Improvements and investments
  – Missouri River (M-29): Omaha District priority (Bank Stabilization and Navigation)
  – Mississippi River (M-35): St. Paul and Rock Island Districts priority projects

Priority inland waterway strategies according to the Iowa Freight Advisory Council
#1 Sustainable funding sources
#4 21st century Farm-to-Market System
#7 System resilience
#9 New intermodal facilities
#10 Address inmobility issues
#12 Improvement of the inland waterway system
#13 Availability and use of freight shipping containers
#15 Impacts of freight on environment and communities

Deferred/backlog maintenance and major rehabilitation and repair costs for Iowa locks and dams

Source: Iowa DOT
Methods to improve (continued 1)

New barge terminal

- Key Cooperative Port of Blencoe
- Location: Missouri River between Sioux City and Omaha
- Construction: Oct. 2020, Grand Opening: June 2021
- Commodities: Corn, soybeans, fertilizers, rock, others

In 2021, the port received 35 loaded barges upstream and shipped 28 loaded barges downstream.

Source: Iowa DOT
Methods to improve (continued 2)

New port statistical area

- Upper Mississippi River Ports
- Approved in 2020
- Includes existing terminals in eastern Iowa and western Illinois
- Part of “Corn Belt Ports”

Source: Iowa DOT
Methods to improve (continued 3)

Mooring cell pilot project

- Mooring cell construction at Lock 14 (LeClaire, IA)
- Possible contributed funds agreement between Iowa DOT and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- Benefits
  - Improved lock approach times
  - Reduced environmental impacts
  - Improved operational safety
  - Replicability (other State DOTs)

Source: Iowa DOT
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Inland Ports ("Dry" Ports)

• Intermodal terminals directly connected to seaports by rail or road
  • Inland ports that provide direct rail access to seaports remove truck movements from congested highways
  • Relieves port congestion by transferring processing and distribution of goods to an inland location, away from the congested port
  • Provides flexibility and control to manufacturers running tight production lines and retailers in need of velocity and reliability in their supply chain

Source: SCPA
## Inland Ports ("Dry" Ports) Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inland Ports</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Inland Port Dillon** *(South Carolina Ports Authority)* | • Located along I-95, extends the Port of Charleston’s reach for importers and exporters  
• Offers exclusive CSX rail service on direct route to/from Port of Charleston  
• Importers and exporters receive one rate from ocean carriers |
| **Inland Port Greer** *(South Carolina Ports Authority)*   | • Located along I-85 between Charlotte and Atlanta, extends the Port of Charleston’s reach 212 miles for shippers and producers, including BMW’s Spartanburg plant  
• Offers exclusive next-day NS rail service on direct route to/from Port of Charleston |
| **Virginia Inland Port** *(Virginia Ports Authority)*     | • Brings the Port of Virginia 220 miles closer to inland markets and enhances service to the Washington D.C. / Baltimore Region by providing rail service to terminals in Hampton Roads  
• Offers NS rail service to/from the Port of Virginia, supporting nearby distribution centers |
Inland Ports ("Pop Up" Ports)

- Quickly-implemented, flexible intermodal terminals directly connected to seaports by rail or road
  - Expands capacity of marine port by moving functions that would otherwise be performed there (e.g., container storage) to be performed at an inland port
- **Georgia Ports Authority “Pop Up” Container Yards**
  - Alleviates congestion at Port of Savannah by bringing cargo closer to customers and reducing length of container storage time at Port
  - Containers are transferred inland via rail and truck to flexible “pop-up” container yards in Georgia and North Carolina near manufacturing and distribution centers, as well as freight rail lines
  - Makes available valuable real estate closer to Port, freeing up dock space and speeding goods flow in and out of Port of Savannah
  - Expands container capacity by 500,000 TEUs

Source: GPA
Georgia Port Authority
Appalachian Regional Port Case Study
GEORGIA PORTS AUTHORITY
DEEPWATER & INLAND TERMINAL OPTIONS - TERMINAL

Source: Georgia Ports
GEORGIA PORTS AUTHORITY
DEEPWATER & INLAND TERMINAL OPTIONS - REGIONAL PORT

Source: Georgia Ports
Rail Intermodal Corridors

• Moving freight by rail, rather than by truck, can improve safety, reduce emissions, and reduce wear and tear on roads and bridges
• Containers can be double-stacked, increasing productivity and capacity
• Rail intermodal corridors are freight rail routes that provide service to inland markets from major U.S. ports
• Rail intermodal corridor initiatives expand and increase capacity on the freight rail network through investments, including:
  • Upgrading trackage and signals
  • Building intermodal terminals
  • Increasing height clearances for double stack container cars

Source: Norfolk Southern
## Rail Intermodal Corridors Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rail</th>
<th>Intermodal Corridor Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **NS Heartland Corridor Project** | • Cleared double-stack overhead restrictions through 530-mile railway corridor  
• Raised heights of 28 tunnels, removed overhead obstacles on main lines, and added intermodal container transfer facilities  
• Reduced container moves by 250 route miles and decreased transit times by a day |
| **CSX National Gateway Project** | • Rail infrastructure and intermodal terminal projects improved cargo flow along three major freight rail corridors operated by CSX  
• Increased 40 height clearances in four States to accommodate double-stack container cars through Midwest and along Atlantic coast  
• Parallels I-95 corridor, connecting North Carolina with Baltimore, MD, and along I-70/I-76 Corridor between Washington, DC and Northwest Ohio via Pittsburgh, PA |
| **NS Crescent Corridor**   | • Developed 2,500-mile rail intermodal route from New Jersey to Memphis, and New Orleans on the Gulf Coast  
• Built intermodal terminals in Tennessee, Alabama, and Pennsylvania and expanded two others  
• Constructed 300 miles of double track and ten passing sidings, straightened curves, and added signals |
Rail Intermodal – Short Line Rail

- **Short line railroads** are smaller railroads that run shorter distances and connect shippers with larger freight rail network
- **Short line railroad infrastructure** is an underutilized asset that offers opportunities for future growth
  - Short line and regional railroads operate approximately 1/3 of the U.S. freight rail network and serve customers in 49 States
  - Short line railroads within metropolitan areas could become part of the solution to supply chain problems
  - Short line infrastructure improvements can increase first- and last-mile rail access to industries that otherwise ship by truck
  - Short line railroads haul enough carloads each year to divert 26 million trucks from the nation’s highways, which relieves truck bottlenecks and is estimated to lower pavement damage costs by $1.2 billion

Source: UP
Organization and Planning for Operations to Include Freight Stakeholders

• Why are Freight Stakeholders Important?
  • Serve as freight champions
  • Provide a face for freight who can build trust with industry stakeholders and public
  • Provide insights on market, economic factors, industry practices, trends, and commodity movements
  • Provide input on planning, transportation analyses, impact assessment, project selection, and operations
  • Provide consideration of impacts to other non-traditional stakeholders, such as communities near ports or other facilities

Who are Key Freight Stakeholders?

• Ports
• Railroads
• Shippers and carriers
• Third-party logistics providers
• Industrial real estate developers
• Industry associations
• Freight industry workforce
• Economic development agencies
• MPOs and local governments
• State environmental agencies
• Community organizations
Organization and Planning for Operations to Include Freight Stakeholders (continued)

• Stakeholder engagement
  • Freight advisory committees
  • Interviews
  • Surveys
  • Information sharing
  • Feedback loops (e.g., reviews, updates)

• Successes
  • Texas DOT’s Freight Advisory Committee – industry support, buy in, and project advancement, especially in emerging automation technologies
  • North Jersey Transportation Planning Authority – produced numerous resources to describe freight flows, gained support from stakeholders, led to new funding programs

Source: LACMTA
Operations and Freight Performance Measurement and Management

• Visualization Tools
  • Convey freight performance to tell a freight story to multiple audiences and stakeholders
  • Allow freight bottlenecks to be seen and experienced dynamically

• Geospatial Tools
  • Show freight bottlenecks in relation to freight generators along with traffic volumes and asset conditions
  • Help agencies identify causes of bottlenecks and tailor solutions

• Freight Performance Data
  • Use of big data, connected options (e.g., probe) helps fuel performance measures and identify bottlenecks, particularly when relying on delay per mile
  • Conflation to Highway Performance Management System (HPMS) networks helps to view measure results with other roadway information like pavement and safety
Texas and Maryland Freight Performance Visualization Case Study
Truck Congestion Analysis Tool (TCAT)

Analysis tool based on Speed Data and Local Traffic Volumes

Source: TXDOT
TCAT Overview

- Planning tools for analyzing and monitoring congestion, primarily focused on truck congestion and roadway performance
- Totally open source coding and programming
  - No license, registration fees
  - Fully customizable
  - Can be transferred to sponsor agency/organization for hosting

Source: TXDOT
Underlying Data – Texas 100 Database

- Produced as part of the Texas 100 Most Congested Roadways effort between TTI and TxDOT
- Contains traditional mobility performance measures on annual basis for both all-vehicle and truck-only
  - Delay
  - Delay per mile
  - Congestion cost
  - Travel time index
  - Planning time index
  - Others

Source: TXDOT
Underlying Data – Texas 100 Database (continued)

- All measures are stored on agency’s network (RHiNo) at the road segment level
  - Flexibility in creating custom corridors
  - Provides for better relationships to other RHiNo road segment characteristics and other agency datasets
  - Sections can be saved and monitored from year-to-year
  - If speed data is not available, speeds are estimated from like segments

- All measures are speed and volume-based:
  - INRIX speed data
    - XD network conflated to RHiNo with INRIX speed data associated to RHiNo
  - RHiNo network
    - Provides roadway geometry, traffic volumes
Covers most roadways non-local roads in Texas
- Over 241,000 road segments covering 106,000+ centerline miles of roadway across the State
- One subset is the 10,000+ centerline miles monitored in the Texas 100 list
Multiple years of data (2016 through 2019)
Regional/Geographical Analysis

- Various regions/geographies
  - County
  - TxDOT district
  - MPO regions
  - Other significant regions
  - Key pre-defined corridors

- Key parameters summarized across the region/geography in tabular form by:
  - Route
  - Highway system
  - Functional class
  - Rural/urban
  - Other geographies (county, district)

Source: TxDOT
Custom Corridors

- Users create corridors real time by selecting starting and ending segments
- Road segment data is aggregated to form one set of results

Source: TXDOT
Texas Top 100 Congested Roadways

• Monitored Road Segments
  • ~ 1,800 segments covering ~ 10,000 centerline miles from all urban areas

• Top 100 Truck congested road segments

• Top 100 overall congested road segments for reference

• Summary stats are included in tabular form

• Ranking trends are included in graphical form

Source: TXDOT
3-D Mapping

- Allows users to better visualize roadway performance
- Map orientation can be changed to dynamically view the area from different perspectives

Source: TXDOT
TCAT – Annual Truck Congestion Report Cards

• Extension of performance measure summaries

• Provides quick access to both interactive and printable (pdf and images for presentations) summary reports

• Available for all geographies/regions included in TCAT

Source: TXDOT
MARYLAND ROADWAY PERFORMANCE TOOL (MRPT)

Toria Lassiter
Assistant Division Chief, Innovative Performance Planning Division
Office of Planning and Preliminary Engineering
State Highway Administration
WHAT IS MRPT?

• Tool for visualizing all traffic and freight.
• Aligned with Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) and Geographic Information System (GIS) information.
• Ranks top bottlenecks using delay per mile, data do the talking.
• Performance statistics can be calculated by segment or:
  • Different geographics (regional, county)
  • Ownership
  • Functional class
  • Network (e.g., STRAHNET, Freight Network)
• Includes a suite of measures and data coded to HPMS.
WHY MRPT?

• Visualizing performance is critical to doing something about it.
• It is important to have data aligned with HPMS (One Maryland One Centerline) for analytics.
• Dashboards help engage diverse stakeholders.
• MRPT allows a suite of measures applied for all Maryland highway segments.
• MRPT provides top ranked bottlenecks based on Delay per Mile, but can rank by other measures as well.
TOP TRUCK BOTTLENECKS

Source: MDOT
### Top Truck Bottleneck Ranking Detail

**Year:** 2019

- **Top 100 Bottlenecks:** 109
- **Person Hours of Delay:** 1,118,860
- **Person Hours of Delay per Mile:** 113,815
- **Congested Costs:** 25,997,741
- **TTR:** Estimated: 1.622
- **IPI:** Weighted by LaneKm: 115
- **Commodity Values:** (Annual $1,000,000): 63,405

**Route ID:** US 50

**From/To:** MD 179 to MD 18 / MD 18H

**Click segments to add to data table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Truck Top 100 Bottlenecks Rank</th>
<th>Truck Person-Hours of Delay</th>
<th>Truck Person-Hours of Delay per Mile</th>
<th>Truck Travel Time Index</th>
<th>Truck Planning Time Index 95</th>
<th>Truck Congested Costs</th>
<th>IRI Weighted by VMT</th>
<th>Commodity Values (Annual $1,000,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Show</td>
<td>MU 1700 / IS 305</td>
<td>MU 4295 / CAMDEN ST</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6,816</td>
<td>42,599</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>320,061</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>5,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Show</td>
<td>IS 495</td>
<td>CO 14 / CHERRY HILL RD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>327,479</td>
<td>34,764</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>15,572,042</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>77,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Show</td>
<td>IS 495 / IS 95</td>
<td>MD 190</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60,016</td>
<td>34,492</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2,845,197</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>98,820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** MDOT
## TOTAL MARYLAND FREIGHT NETWORK PERFORMANCE

### Maryland Roadway Performance Tool

**Current Layer:** Maryland Freight Network (Including critical corridors)

**Select geographic layer:**
- Top 100 Bottlenecks
- Regions
- Counties
- Freight Network
- Critical Corridors Freeway
- Critical Corridors Arterial
- Critical Corridors Freight

### Year: 2019
- Truck Person-Hours of Delay: 36
- Truck Congested Costs: 1.561
- Truck Travel Time Index: 1.03
- Truck Planning Time Index: 0.106
- Truck AADT: 1.059
- TTR - Estimated: 1.075

**Route ID:** 15000MD00200-1
**From/To:** 12.66 to 12.76

**Click segments to add to data table**

### SETTINGS/FILTERS

### ROAD SEGMENTS

### SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Congested Costs</th>
<th>Congested CO2 Lbs</th>
<th>Normal CO2 Lbs</th>
<th>Truck Person-Hours of Delay</th>
<th>Truck Planning Time Index 95</th>
<th>Truck Congested Costs</th>
<th>Commodity Values (Annual $1,000,000)</th>
<th>IRI - Weighted by VMT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Show</td>
<td>1,830,183,940</td>
<td>193,384</td>
<td>13,716,412</td>
<td>2,598,792</td>
<td>1.704</td>
<td>125,999,825</td>
<td>577,311,661,034</td>
<td>78,135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** MDOT
FOR MORE INFORMATION

Toria Lassiter
Assistant Division Chief, Performance and Asset Management
Innovative Performance Planning Division
Office of Planning and Preliminary Engineering
Maryland DOT State Highway Administration
tllassiter@mdot.state.md.us
410-545-5731

MRPT Beta Version: https://mrptui.z21.web.core.windows.net/
Conclusion
For more information:

Jeff Purdy  
Freight Management and Operations  
Office of Operations  
Jeffrey.Purdy@dot.gov 

https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freight